

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,564	03/25/2004	Frederic Legrand	LOREAL 3.0-018	7962		
530 75	590 04/27/2006	EXAMINER				
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			ELHILO,	ELHILO, EISA B		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WESTFIELD,			1751	1751		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/27/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/809,	564	LEGRAND ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Eisa B. I	Elhilo	1751		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	he correspondence ad	dress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wereply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no on nication, utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS optication to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this or ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
- 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 March 200	4.			
-	•	o)⊠ This action is				
'=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte G</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27-45</u> is/are pendir	ng in the applicatio	n.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	-				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-45 is/are rejected	ed.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)⊡ accepted or t	o) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority d			<i>(:</i> b)		
	2. Certified copies of the priority d		• •		Chana	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	• •		eived in this mational	Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • • •	eived		
	see the attached detailed Office action	TOT A HIST OF THE COL	tilled copies flot rest	oivou.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTC)-152)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/12/04 & 11/9/04</u> .	10/28/08)	6) Other:	an atom Application (FTC	, 192)	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-25 and 27-45 are pending in this application.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 and 27-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dias (US 6,540,791 B1) in view of Riedel et al. (US 6,156,296).

Dias (US' 791 B1) teaches a hair bleaching composition comprising an oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, persulfates and bromates in the amounts of 1 to 6% which within the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 1, 9-11 and 27 (see col. 4, line 62 and col. 5, lines 1-34), wherein the composition further comprises cationic and nonionic amphiphilic polymers as conditioning polymers as claimed in claims 12-13 (see col. 15, lines 45-50), wherein the amphiphilic polymers present in the amount of 0.05 to 20% and 0.1 to 10% as claimed in claims 30-31 (see col. 15, lines 19-20), cationic polymers in the amounts of 0.1 to 10% which overlapped with the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 28-29 (see col. 15, lines 51-56), surfactants in the amounts of 0.01 to 50% which covered the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 14, 32-33 and 40 (see col. 9, lines 42-59), rheology modifiers (sodium alginate or gum Arabic) in the amount of 0.05 to 20% and 0.1 to 10% as claimed in claims 15 and 34-35 (see col. 15, lines 17-20 and 39), alkalizing agents (basifying agents) in the amounts of 0.1 to 20% which within the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 17 and 36 (see col. 7, lines 34-41), silicone as claimed in

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564

Art Unit: 1751

claim 18 (see col. 19, lines 1-67), solvents in the amounts of 5 to 99.98% which covered the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 37-39 (see col. 45, lines 1-19). Dias (US' 791 B1) also teaches methods for bleaching and/or coloring hair as claimed in claims 20-23 and 41-42 (see col. 31, lines 44-67, col. 49, lines 24-43 and col. 50, lines 1-5).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting specific formula of polyhydroxy carboxylic acids.

However, Dias (US' 791 B1) suggests the use of carboxylic acids in a hair bleaching composition (see col. 46, lines 54-67).

Riedel et al. (US' 296) in analogous art of hair bleaching formulation (see col. 10, lines 32-33), teaches a composition comprising α -hydroxycarboxylic acids that can be present in the form of their physiologically tolerated salts (see col. 4, lines 44-48), wherein the α -hydroxycarboxylic acids include galactaric (mucic) acid and galactonic acid that represented by the claimed formula (I) as claimed in 1-6 (see col. 5, lines 44-50) and wherein the acids are presented in the amounts of 1 to 10 % which within the claimed range as claimed in claim 7 and overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in claim 8 (see col. 13, claim 9).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the composition of Dias (US' 791 B1) by incorporating the carboxylic acids as taught by Riedel et al. (US' 296) to arrive at the claimed invention with reasonable expectation of success to care for the individual hair as well as improving the appearance of the hair and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,564

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 25 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dias (US 6,540,791 B1) in view of Di La Mettrie et al. (US 6,254,646 B1).

The disclosure of Dias (US' 791 B1) as described above, does not teach or disclose a method for permanently reshaping keratin fibers as claimed.

Di La Mettire et al. (US' 646 B1) in analogous art of hair treating formulation, teaches a process for reshaping hair comprising the step of applying to the hair a reducing composition followed by applying an oxidizing composition as claimed in claims 43-45 (see col. 13, lines 9-19).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to utilize a reducing composition followed by a bleaching (oxidizing) composition for permanent reshaping the hair as taught by Di La Mettire et al. (US' 646 B1) and would expect such a process to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

With respect to claim 25, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a kit for permanent reshaping hair by separating the reducing composition from the oxidizing composition to arrive at the claimed invention because De La Mittre et al. (US' 646 B1) clearly teaches a reducing composition and an oxidizing composition which implies that the two compositions are separated from each other and thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to keep the reducing composition and the oxidizing composition in the separate containers to arrive at the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1751

Conclusion

The references listed on from PTO-1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

Page 5

April 20, 2006